	Case 1:23-cv-00671-NODJ-SAB Docume	ent 18 Filed 02/14/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ISIDRO ROMAN,	No. 1:23-cv-00671-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO RANDOMLY ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE TO THIS ACTION
13	V.	
14	PATRICK EATON, et al.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS
15	Defendants.	
16		(ECF No. 16)
17	Disinstiff is now and the grown of and in forms of a section filed assessment to 12	
18 19	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
20	On January 18, 2024, the Court screened Plaintiff's second amended complaint and found	
21	that he stated cognizable a cognizable retaliation claim against Defendant K. Jackson. (ECF No.	
22	15.) However, Plaintiff failed to state any other cognizable claims. Plaintiff was granted one	
23	final opportunity to file an amended complaint or notify the Court of his intent to proceed on the	
24	claims found to be cognizable. ( <u>Id.</u> ) On February 12, 2024, Plaintiff filed a notice of intent to	
25	proceed on the claims found to be cognizable. (ECF No. 16.)	
26	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a	
27	District Judge to this action.	
28	///	
		1

## Case 1:23-cv-00671-NODJ-SAB Document 18 Filed 02/14/24 Page 2 of 2

Further, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- This action proceed against Defendant K. Jackson for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment; and
- 2. All other claims be dismissed from the action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within **fourteen** (14) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **February 14, 2024** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE